



## The Good Growth Plan Progress Data - Productivity 2016

---



**Make crops  
more efficient**

## 1. Summary

Syngenta is committed to increasing crop productivity and to using limited resources such as land, water and inputs more efficiently. Since 2014, we have been measuring trends in agricultural input efficiency on a global network of real farms.

The Productivity 2016 dataset shows aggregated productivity and resource efficiency indicators for three crop seasons, 2014, 2015 and preliminary data for 2016 where available. The data has been collected from more than 3,600 farms and covers more than 20 different crops in 42 countries. The data (except USA data) was collected, consolidated and reported by Market Probe<sup>1</sup>, an independent market research agency. It can be used to provide benchmarks for crop yield and input efficiency.

Farms are grouped in clusters, which represent a crop grown in an area with homogenous agro-ecological conditions and include comparable types of farms. The sample includes reference and benchmark farms. The reference farms were selected by Syngenta and the benchmark farms were randomly selected by Market Probe within the same cluster. Data collection was carried out by Market Probe using a structured questionnaire and face-to-face interviews with participating growers. Data was collected on the usage of inputs, such as crop protection products, chemical fertilizer, seeding rates, labor hours, machinery usage hours, and marketable crop yield on a per hectare basis.

## 2. Metadata

<b>Description of the dataset</b>	The dataset includes 2014 baseline data and 2015, 2016 and preliminary 2017 progress data for agricultural efficiency indicators for 3,600 farms in selected agro-ecological zones and market segments in 42 countries in Europe, Africa, Latin America, North America and Asia.
<b>Date of first publication</b>	April 23, 2015
<b>Date of last update</b>	September 2017
<b>Date of next update</b>	March 2018
<b>Frequency of updates</b>	Periodically
<b>Reporting period</b>	October 1, 2013 – September 30, 2016
<b>License for re-using the data</b>	<a href="#">The contents of this dataset and all supporting documentation are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.</a>

<sup>1</sup> <http://marketprobeagricultureandanimalhealth.com/>

## The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

<b>Text to use when citing the data</b>	The Good Growth Plan Progress Data - Productivity 2016
<b>URL to use when citing the data</b>	<a href="http://www.goodgrowthplan.com">www.goodgrowthplan.com</a>
<b>Geographic coverage</b>	Algeria; Argentina; Australia; Bangladesh; Belgium; Brazil; China; Colombia; Costa Rica; Ecuador; Egypt; France; Germany; Guatemala; Honduras; Hungary; India; Indonesia; Italy; Ivory Coast; Japan; Jordan; Kenya; Malaysia; Mexico; Morocco; Netherlands; Pakistan; Paraguay; Peru; Philippines; Russia; South Africa; Spain; Tanzania; Thailand; Ukraine; United Kingdom; Venezuela; Vietnam; Zambia
<b>Data language</b>	English
<b>Key words</b>	input efficiency; crop productivity; agriculture; The Good Growth Plan
<b>Subject</b>	Agricultural input efficiency
<b>Copyright year</b>	2017
<b>Copyright holder</b>	Syngenta AG

### 3. Structure of the data

Data sets are at territory-, country-crop-, and cluster-level.

Variable name	Definition	Unit	Type of data
<b>HarvestYear</b>	Year the crop was harvested	year	Numeric
<b>Region</b>	Syngenta definition of region		String
<b>Territory</b>	Syngenta definition of territory (sub-region)		String
<b>Country</b>	Country		String
<b>Crop</b>	Crop		String
<b>Farms</b>	Number of farms	farms	Numeric
<b>Farmtype</b>	Reference or benchmark farm		Categorical
<b>SmallholderCluster</b>	Farms are defined as smallholder farms		Categorical
<b>AreaSizeMin</b>	Minimum field size	hectares	Numeric
<b>AreaSizeAvg</b>	Average field size	hectares	Numeric
<b>AreaSizeMax</b>	Maximum field size	hectares	Numeric
<b>CropSizeMin</b>	Minimum crop size in hectares	hectares	Numeric
<b>CropSizeAvg</b>	Average crop size in hectares	hectares	Numeric

## The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

<b>CropSizeMax</b>	Maximum crop size in hectares	hectares	Numeric
<b>FarmSizeMin</b>	Minimum farm size in hectares	hectares	Numeric
<b>FarmSizeAvg</b>	Average farm size in hectares	hectares	Numeric
<b>FarmSizeMax</b>	Maximum farm size in hectares	hectares	Numeric
<b>Clreportingstatus</b>	Cluster reporting status		String
<b>ClusterID</b>	Unique cluster identifier		String
<b>LandProductivity</b>	Average land productivity as marketable crop yield per land unit	tons per hectare	Numeric
<b>PesticideApplicationEfficiency</b>	Average number of pesticide applications per metric ton of marketable crop yield	applications per ton	Numeric
<b>NutrientEfficiency</b>	Average amount of nitrogen equivalents in kg per metric ton of marketable crop yield	kg per ton	Numeric
<b>PhosphorusEfficiency</b>	Average amount of phosphorus equivalents in kg per metric ton of marketable crop yield	kg per ton	Numeric
<b>PotassiumEfficiency</b>	Average amount of potassium equivalents in kg per metric ton of marketable crop yield	kg per ton	Numeric
<b>SeedEfficiency</b>	Average amount of seed in kg per metric ton of marketable crop yield	kg per ton	Numeric
<b>PesticideEfficiency</b>	Average amount of crop protection active ingredients in kg per metric ton of marketable crop yield	kg per ton	Numeric
<b>IrrigationWaterEfficiency</b>	Average amount of irrigation water in liters per metric ton of marketable crop yield	liters per ton	Numeric
<b>LaborEfficiency</b>	Average amount of labor in manhours per metric ton of marketable crop yield	hours per ton	Numeric
<b>MachineEfficiency</b>	Average amount of machine hours per metric ton of marketable crop yield	hours per ton	Numeric

## 4. Background and methods

The main objective of the farm network is to monitor progress on Syngenta's commitment to increase crop productivity and resource efficiency. Crop output-input ratios are measured against set targets on real farms for selected crops and market segments relevant to Syngenta's commercial strategy. Syngenta considers a real world situation and takes into account preferences and decisions made by its customer farmers.

## 4.1. Description of the farm network

The farm survey is designed as a longitudinal study that involves repeated observations of crop output-input ratios over several years on the same farms. Farms are grouped into clusters with similar farm types representing a crop grown in similar agro-ecological and market conditions. The reporting scope (countries, crops, customer segments) is determined and reviewed annually by Syngenta in line with its commercial strategies. The countries in scope have established targets which were baselined in 2014 and have to be met in 2020.

The sample includes reference and benchmark farms. The reference farms were selected by Syngenta and the benchmark farms were randomly and independently selected by Market Probe within the same cluster.

## 4.2. Progress measurement

The basis for progress management is the productivity and efficiency percentage increases measured on reference farms. The global trend is measured against a 20% improvement target to be achieved by 2020. The baseline year for a clusters is 2014, the starting year of the data collection. The key performance indicators (KPIs) represent partial measures of agricultural productivity:

- Land productivity
- Labor efficiency
- Nitrogen efficiency
- Seed efficiency
- Pesticide application efficiency
- Pesticide efficiency
- Irrigation water efficiency
- Machine efficiency

The evolution over time for each KPI is calculated on cluster level. To be included in the progress measurement, growers must have participated in The Good Growth Plan for at least two consecutive waves. Outlier analysis and data cleansing at farm level is used to remove extreme outliers.

<b>Key definitions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>A reference farm</b> is managed by a respondent grower selected by Syngenta.</li> <li>• <b>A benchmark farm</b> is managed by a respondent grower randomly and independently selected by Market Probe using cluster screening criteria.             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ In USA, benchmark data is generated from USDA and other public data.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
------------------------	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ In UK, Germany, Spain and France, benchmark data for barley in particular is generated on reference farms using conventional practices.</li> <li>• <b>A cluster</b> represents farms with similar agro-climatic conditions and farm characteristics according to screening criteria.</li> <li>• <b>A farm</b> is a tract of land cultivated for the purpose of crop production within a specified crop cycle or crop season.</li> </ul>
--	--

### 4.3. Farm metrics

#### a. Number of farms

<b>Name</b>	<b>Number of farms</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	# (count)
<b>Definition</b>	The number of farms participating in the GGP farm
<b>Calculation</b>	Summing up the number of farms

#### b. Land productivity

<b>Name</b>	<b>Land productivity</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Metric tons per hectare
<b>Definition</b>	Marketable crop yield in metric tons per hectare
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Crop output in metric tons per land unit for the respective crop periods is reported by the grower.</li> <li>2. The output per land unit is converted to output per hectare.</li> </ol>
<b>Discussion/Limitations</b>	Year-on-year observations in agriculture can be problematic: changes in crop yield could be explained by external factors (e.g. weather related stress, pest breakouts and other environmental factors).

#### c. Land productivity of smallholders

<b>Name</b>	<b>Land productivity of Smallholders</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Metric tons per hectare
<b>Definition</b>	Marketable crop yield in metric tons per hectare of smallholder clusters
<b>Calculation</b>	Smallholder clusters are defined based on farm-size and country-specific definitions set forward in The Good Growth Plan smallholder commitment.

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

<b>Discussion/Limitations</b>	In several cases, cluster definitions were initially not made based on hectare cut-off sizes. Hence, in some clusters, growers that meet the smallholder definition are in the same cluster as growers somewhat above.
-------------------------------	--

**d. Nutrient efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Nutrient efficiency</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Nitrogen in kg/t
<b>Definition</b>	Nitrogen input from chemical fertilizer applied in kilogram per metric ton marketable crop yield in the reporting year relative to the baseline
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Data on nitrogen input in kilogram per land unit from chemical fertilizer applied is reported by respondent growers.</li> <li>2. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> </ol>
<b>Discussion/Limitations</b>	KPI does not consider the nitrogen balance in the soil. Hence, any changes over time have to be interpreted carefully. Data for organic fertilizer input is available, but needs to be calculated to N equivalents using assumptions.

**e. Phosphorus efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Phosphorus efficiency</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Phosphorus in kg/t
<b>Definition</b>	Phosphorus input from chemical fertilizer applied in kilogram per metric ton marketable crop yield in the reporting year relative to the baseline
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Data on phosphorus input in kilogram per land unit from chemical fertilizer applied is reported by respondent growers.</li> <li>4. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> </ol>
<b>Discussion/Limitations</b>	KPI does not consider the phosphorus balance in the soil. Hence, any changes over time have to be interpreted carefully.

**f. Potassium efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Potassium efficiency</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Potassium in kg/t
<b>Definition</b>	Potassium input from chemical fertilizer applied in kilogram per metric ton marketable crop yield in the reporting year relative to the baseline

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. Data on Potassium input in kilogram per land unit from chemical fertilizer applied is reported by respondent growers.</li> <li>6. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> </ol>
<b>Discussion/Limitations</b>	KPI does not consider the Potassium balance in the soil. Hence, any changes over time have to be interpreted carefully.

**g. Pesticide Application efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Pesticide application efficiency</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number of pesticide applications/t
<b>Definition</b>	Pesticide application efficiency measured as the number of pesticide applications per metric ton of marketable crop yield. Included are fungicides, herbicides, and insecticides.
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Each pesticide treatment during the production cycle is recorded and reported by the respondent growers.</li> <li>2. The number of pesticide applications per land unit is calculated by summing-up the number of treatments. In case two or more pesticides were applied as one application, they are counted as one treatment (e.g. tank mix). Seed treatment is calculated as one treatment.</li> <li>3. To calculate pesticide application efficiency of a farm, the number of pesticide applications is divided by crop yield in ton.</li> </ol>
<b>Limitations</b>	Application efficiency depends on biotic pressure.

**h. Pesticide AI efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Pesticide AI efficiency index</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	kg AI/t
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The average percentage change in pesticide active ingredient (AI) efficiency measured as the amount of pesticide AI input per metric ton of marketable crop output in the reporting year relative to the baseline.</p> <p>Included are active ingredients of fungicides, herbicides, insecticides, and seed treatment products. Not included are active ingredients of fertilizers, miticides, acaricides, rodenticides, nematicides, molluscicides, plant growth regulator, harvest aids, and adjuvants.</p>
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Each pesticide application, including the brand name(s) of the product and dosage rate in gram or milliliter per land unit applied during the production cycle, is reported by the grower.</li> </ol>



The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> <li>3. The database <a href="http://www.homologa-new.com">www.homologa-new.com</a>, label information, or other databases are used to obtain information on the active ingredient concentration of each pesticide product. The quantity of active ingredient input is measured as grams per liter or grams per kilogram product solvent.</li> <li>4. The amount of active ingredient applied per hectare is calculated by multiplying the dosage rate with the active ingredient concentration.</li> <li>5. The total amount of pesticide active ingredients applied in kilograms per hectare is calculated by taking the sum of active ingredients of all considered pesticide applications.</li> <li>6. To calculate pesticide active ingredient efficiency, the total amount of pesticide active ingredients is divided by the crop yield in tons for each farm.</li> </ol>
<b>Limitations</b>	Due to differences in the mode-of-action, an increase in pesticide AI efficiency may have limited interpretability.

**i. Seed efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	Seed efficiency
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	kg seeds/ton
<b>Definition</b>	The average percentage change in seed efficiency measured as kilograms of seeds per metric ton of marketable crop yield in the reporting year relative to the baseline year.
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The amount of seeds used in bags or kilograms per land unit is recorded and reported by the grower.</li> <li>2. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> <li>3. For each farm, the amount of seeds used is divided by the crop yield, resulting in seed efficiency measured in kilograms of seed input per ton of crop output.</li> </ol>

**j. Labor efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	Labor efficiency
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	manhours/ton
<b>Definition</b>	The average percentage change in labor efficiency measured as manhours per metric ton of marketable crop yield in the reporting year relative to the baseline year.

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The number of hours spent by all workers and the number of workers involved are recorded and reported by the grower for 21 different farming activities. The activities include clearing, ploughing, digging, ridging, ripping, land leveling, greenhouse management, applying fertilizers, mulching, sowing or planting, scouting for pests and diseases, applying pesticides, irrigating, pruning, weeding, harvesting, post-harvest handling, and processing (incl. sorting).</li> <li>2. The number of hours is multiplied by the number of people involved in each activity, resulting in manhours per activity. Manhours from all activities are summed up and divided by the growing area (field) size.</li> <li>3. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> <li>4. For each farm, the total number of manhours is divided by the crop yield, resulting in labor efficiency measured in manhours per ton of crop output.</li> </ol>
<b>Limitations</b>	<p>Record-keeping of labor inputs for different farm activities is complex and time consuming. It may be inconsistent across farms, which can partially be managed through data cleansing. Very large farms were found to outsource many of their labor activities. The indicator has to be interpreted with care.</p>

**k. Machine efficiency**

<b>Name</b>	Machine efficiency index
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	machine hours/ton
<b>Definition</b>	The average percentage change in machine efficiency measured as machine hours per metric ton of marketable crop yield in the reporting year relative to the baseline year.
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The type of machine and the total hours it is used are recorded and reported by the grower for 21 different farming activities. The considered farm activities include clearing, ploughing, digging, ridging, ripping, land leveling, greenhouse management, applying fertilizers, mulching, sowing or planting, scouting for pests and diseases, applying pesticides, irrigating, pruning, weeding, harvesting, post-harvest handling, processing (incl. sorting), and other activities.</li> <li>2. Machine-hours from all activities are summed up and divided by the growing area (field) size in hectare.</li> <li>3. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> <li>4. For each farm, the total number of machine-hours is divided by crop yield, resulting in labor efficiency measured in machine-hours per ton of crop output.</li> </ol>

<b>Limitations</b>	Record-keeping of machine inputs for different farm activities is complex and time consuming. Machine hours from different activities may be difficult to compare against each other. The indicator has to be interpreted with care.
--------------------	--

### I. Irrigation water efficiency

<b>Name</b>	Irrigation water efficiency
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Liter/ton
<b>Definition</b>	The average percentage change in irrigation efficiency measured as liters of irrigation water input per metric ton of marketable crop yield in the reporting year relative to the baseline year.
<b>Calculation</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. If a grower uses irrigation to grow crops, the amount of irrigation water used per land unit is estimated and reported by the grower</li> <li>2. The input per land unit is converted to input per hectare.</li> </ol>
<b>Limitations</b>	Tracking systems for use of irrigation water may be different across farms (e.g. water meters or sourcing from a river for free) and amounts used have to be compared with care. Climate conditions penalize farms with little rain that will need to irrigate more.

## 4.4. Calculation of progress over time

Farm metrics (e.g. tons/hectare) are aggregated as cluster averages over all farms within the cluster. The cluster average is compared to the previous harvest year by calculating the percentage change. In order to present input efficiency increases as positive percentage changes, the inverse (e.g. ton/kg N) of the farm metric (kg N/ton) is used.

Year-on-year progress at global level is measured as the average of the percentage change of all clusters. Finally, the overall progress in the reporting year since baseline is cumulated on reporting-year-level by multiplying the average changes between reporting years. The global overall progress is published in the Annual Review and available on [www.syngenta.com](http://www.syngenta.com). Formulas for the exact calculations can be made available upon request.

## 4.5. Data collection tools and process

The monitoring scope is determined in line with business priorities and reviewed annually. Reference farm sign-up was organized through Syngenta’s commercial organization in the countries. Reference grower characteristics were used to describe a cluster-specific profile, and based on which Market Probe independently and randomly selected comparable benchmark growers to provide a control group within each cluster.

## The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

Sample sizes for each cluster were determined in order to measure significant increases in crop efficiency over time. These were determined by Market Probe based on target productivity increases and assumptions regarding the variation of yields in each cluster. The smaller the expected increase, the larger the sample size needed to measure significant differences over time. Variations within clusters were based on previous research from the countries. Additionally, growers were also organized into clusters as a means of keeping variances under control, as well as distinguishing between growers in terms of crop size, region and technological level.

- A minimum sample size of 20 interviews per cluster is needed. The minimum number of reference farms is 5 of 20. The optimal number of reference farms is 10 of 20 (balanced sample).
- For results to be statistically significant when assessed over time, the minimum and optimum sample sizes need to be determined based on target increase and yield variation in each cluster.

The farm questionnaire was developed jointly by Syngenta and Market Probe. As each crop requires different practices and has different indicators, the final questionnaire was therefore split into crop modules. The master questionnaire was translated into local languages, which were reviewed and approved. The questionnaire covered: farm activities (e.g. crops grown), soil management and safe-use practices, detailed use of chemical fertilizer, pesticide quantity by application and pest pressure, seed variety and seeding rates, labor and machinery hours, irrigation water use, abiotic stresses (such as heavy rain, cold or lack of rainfall), crop yield, harvest time, post-harvest losses, crop sales and prices.

Data collection took place according to the planting and harvesting times in each cluster. The first section of the questionnaire was administered during the crop season. The second section was administered after the harvest. Per respondent, information for up to two cultivation areas (e.g. plots, fields) was collected. The farmer interviews were conducted face-to-face in the local language by Market Probe interviewers using structured questionnaires. Respondents were introduced to the objectives of The Good Growth Plan and, if necessary, trained on recording input use and crop outputs. The local help desk support was provided by Market Probe throughout the season in case of questions.

Market Probe uses SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) for data entry, cleaning, analysis, and reporting. After collection, the farm data is entered into a local database, reviewed, and quality-checked by the local Market Probe agency. In the case of missing values or inconsistencies, farmers are re-contacted. In some cases, grower data was verified with local experts (e.g. retailers) to ensure data accuracy and validity. After country-level cleaning, the farm-level data is submitted to the global Market Probe headquarters for processing. In the case of missing values or inconsistencies, the local Market Probe office was re-contacted to clarify and solve issues.

The results were shared with reference and benchmark respondents in the farm network. Farmers were able to compare their individual performance to the respective cluster average, building an important starting point for future productivity increases.

## 4.6. Sources of data

The data were generated by the respondent reference and benchmark farmers who measure and report on their input use and crop outputs over the respective crop season.

Data	Data source	Data processing
Farm-level data for reference and benchmark farms in Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Bangladesh, Belgium, Brazil, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Egypt, Guatemala, Honduras, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Italy, Ivory Coast, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Malaysia, Mexico, Morocco, Pakistan, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Russia, South Africa, Spain, Tanzania, Thailand, The Netherlands, Ukraine, Venezuela, Vietnam, Zambia	Market Probe <sup>2</sup>	Market Probe
Farm-level data for reference farms in France	Datagri <sup>3</sup>	Market Probe
Farm-level data for benchmark farms in France	Market Probe	Market Probe
Farm-level reference data for farms in the USA	Syngenta	Syngenta
Cluster-level benchmark data for farms in the USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– USDA/NASS crop yields</li> <li>– USDA/ARMS crop input data</li> <li>– USDA/Farm &amp; Ranch Irrigation Survey</li> <li>– State Extension crop budgets</li> <li>– USDA/NASS crop acreage</li> </ul>	Syngenta
Farm-level data for reference and benchmark farms in Germany	Syngenta	Market Probe
Farm-level data for reference and benchmark farms in the UK	Syngenta	Market Probe
Pesticide active ingredient concentration	Homologa – The Global Crop Protection Database <sup>4</sup> Label information from internal sources (e.g. regulatory functions) or internet search	Market Probe
Smallholder definitions based on farm size	Syngenta	Market Probe

## 4.7. Progress calculation

All KPIs are aggregated from individual farm to cluster level.

$$Y_j = \frac{\sum Y_{ij}}{n}$$

Where

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.marketprobeagricultureandanimalhealth.com/>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.datagri.com/gestion/front/main/>

<sup>4</sup> [www.homologa-new.com](http://www.homologa-new.com)

## The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

- $Y_j$  is the cluster average
- $Y_{ij}$  is input efficiency of farm  $i$  in cluster  $j$
- $n$  is the number of farms within cluster  $j$

The aggregated cluster-level KPIs is compared with those of the previous wave to determine progress in efficiency. The percentage change is used as unit of measurement:

$$\Delta Y_j^{2015} = \left( \frac{Y_j^{2015}}{Y_j^{2014}} - 1 \right) * 100$$

Where

- $\Delta Y_j^{2015}$  is the percentage change for cluster  $J$
- $Y_j^{2015}$  is the cluster aggregate in 2015 for cluster  $j$
- $Y_j^{2014}$  is the cluster aggregate in 2014 for cluster  $j$

The evolution of the KPIs is calculated the same way for reference and benchmark farms.

For efficiency indicators (input/output), the inverse (output/input) is used to ensure a lower input use is represented as a positive increase.

$$\Delta Y_j^{2015} = \left( \left( \frac{1}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{2015}}{n}} - \frac{1}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{2014}}{n}} \right) * \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{2014}}{n} \right) * 100 = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{2014}}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{2015}}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{2014}}} - 1 \right) * 100$$

For evolutions of land-productivity of smallholder we report the weighted average of all evolutions. Weights will be applied as a function of the number of observations in a particular cluster. For example, if a cluster has 5 reference smallholders and 100 reference smallholders take part in the entire sample, their evolution receives a weight of 5%. Smallholders' evolutions are weighted because the number of observations within a particular cluster can be limited. Technically, it is possible to have only one smallholder in a particular cluster. Therefore, we chose to weight the evolutions to prevent that one single smallholder gets to play a too important role in the calculation of the evolution.

Globally (as represented in the Annual Report), for the evolution in nutrient efficiency and pesticide application efficiency we report the median of the cluster evolutions. This is due to the higher variability of the efficiency estimate. Year by year, both output and input may change due to environmental and market conditions.

Moving forward in reporting years, progress across more than three consecutive waves will be calculated by accumulating the percentage evolutions. 2014 will be considered as the baseline in the accumulation process:

## The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

$$\% \text{ progress in 2016} = \left( \left( \left( \frac{Y_j^{2015}}{Y_j^{2014}} \right) * \left( \frac{Y_j^{2016}}{Y_j^{2015}} \right) \right) - 1 \right) * 100$$

When sufficient years of data are available, Market Probe will use statistical tools, such as panel analysis, to derive more precise estimates about the increase in crop productivity and input efficiency.

### 4.8. Publication

The selected KPIs “Land Productivity Index”, “Nutrient Efficiency Index<sup>5</sup>”, and “Pesticide Application Efficiency Index” are published in the Annual Review. The percentage increase for both reference and benchmark farms is displayed as the average of yield changes and the median for input efficiency changes over all clusters. The included progress data is by harvest year and reported with a time lag to ensure data quality. The 2016 reporting year includes progress data from 2014 to 2015.

Cluster-level efficiency indicators are published as total values on [www.goodgrowthplan.com](http://www.goodgrowthplan.com) for each cluster. This excludes cluster-level data for the USA. Results from reference and benchmark farms are anonymized and aggregated to ensure data confidentiality of individual growers in clusters with small samples of reference farms. Progress data from 2016 has not yet been independently audited and is published as preliminary only.

## 5. Changes versus previous release

August 3<sup>rd</sup> 2016:

- KPIs were updated with available data.

March 17<sup>th</sup> 2017:

- KPIs were updated with available data
- KPI descriptions were simplified and updated in the background document
- Territory and country data format was revised
- See appendix for overview on cluster changes

September 15<sup>th</sup> 2017:

- KPIs were updated with available data
- Efficiency indicators were removed, as they can be calculated from the available KPIs.
- A description was included how the overall global progress from baseline to reporting year published in the Annual Report is calculated.

---

<sup>5</sup> Nutrient here refers to nitrogen.

## The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

- Data for the following clusters was removed: ArgentinaMaize1, ArgentinaSunflowerSeed2, ArgentinaSoybeanSunflower2, IndonesiaCocoa2, JapanPotato3, JordanTomato4, SouthafricaMaize3, SouthafricaMaize4, UkraineSunflowerSeed3.

### 6. Approval of non-financial performance

The Good Growth Plan data is published as a global aggregate in the Non-financial performance summary on page 55 of the Annual Review 2016. This summary was approved by the Board of Directors on February 7, 2017. Syngenta’s Board of Directors and management are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over non-financial reporting. Syngenta’s internal controls over non-financial reporting are designed to provide assurance to Syngenta’s Board of Directors and management regarding the reliability of non-financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of the information published in the Non-financial performance summary. All internal controls, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations and therefore may not prevent or detect misstatements. In designing internal controls over non-financial reporting, Syngenta used the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Switzerland, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an opinion on Syngenta’s Non-financial performance summary, which is included in the Annual Review 2016 on page 61.

### 7. Contact information

For questions and inquiries regarding this dataset and documentation, please contact [goodgrowthplan.data@syngenta.com](mailto:goodgrowthplan.data@syngenta.com).



## 8. Appendix

### 8.1. Overview on clusters and respective changes.

2014			2016		2016		2017
code	label	code	label	code	label	code	label
12101	AlgeriaWheat1	12101	AlgeriaWheat1	12101	OUT	12101	OUT
20701	ArgentinaMaize1	20701	ArgentinaMaize1	20701	ArgentinaMaize1	20701	OUT
20702	ArgentinaMaize2	20702	ArgentinaMaize2	20702	ArgentinaMaize2	20702	OUT
215101	ArgentinaSoybeanMaize1	215101	ArgentinaSoybeanMaize1	215101	ArgentinaSoybeanMaize1	215101	OUT
215102	ArgentinaSoybeanMaize2	215102	ArgentinaSoybeanMaize2	215102	ArgentinaSoybeanMaize2	215102	OUT
215202	ArgentinaSoybeanSunflower2	215202	ArgentinaSoybeanSunflower2	215202	ArgentinaSoybeanSunflower2	215202	OUT
21802	ArgentinaSunflowerSeed2	21802	ArgentinaSunflowerSeed2	21802	ArgentinaSunflowerSeed2	21802	OUT
22101	ArgentinaWheat1	22101	ArgentinaWheat1	22101	ArgentinaWheat1	22101	OUT
22102	ArgentinaWheat2	22102	ArgentinaWheat2	22102	ArgentinaWheat2	22102	OUT
						218211	ArgentinaSunflowerWheat1 (NEW!)
						221181	ArgentinaWheatSunflower1 (NEW!)
						215211	ArgentinaSoybeanWheat1 (NEW!)
						221151	ArgentinaWheatSoybean1 (NEW!)
						207151	ArgentinaCornSoybean1 (NEW!)
						215071	ArgentinaSoybeanCorn1 (NEW!)
30301	AustraliaBarley1	30301	AustraliaBarley1	30301	AustraliaBarley1	30301	OUT
32101	AustraliaWheat1	32101	AustraliaWheat1	32101	AustraliaWheat1	32101	OUT
41401	BangladeshRice1	41401	BangladeshRice1	41401	BangladeshRice1	41401	BangladeshRice1
50401	BelgiumCauliflower1	50401	BelgiumCauliflower1	50401	BelgiumCauliflower1	50401	BelgiumCauliflower1
60601	BrazilCoffee1	60601	BrazilCoffee1	60601	BrazilCoffee1	60601	BrazilCoffee1

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

60602	BrazilCoffee2	60602	BrazilCoffee2	60602	BrazilCoffee2	60602	BrazilCoffee2
60701	BrazilMaize1	60701	BrazilMaize1	60701	BrazilMaize1	60701	BrazilMaize1
61501	BrazilSoybean1	61501	BrazilSoybean1	61501	BrazilSoybean1	61501	BrazilSoybean1
61502	BrazilSoybean2	61502	BrazilSoybean2	61502	BrazilSoybean2	61502	BrazilSoybean2
61503	BrazilSoybean3	61503	BrazilSoybean3	61503	BrazilSoybean3	61503	BrazilSoybean3
61701	BrazilSugarcane1	61701	BrazilSugarcane1	61701	OUT	61701	OUT
61702	BrazilSugarcane2	61702	BrazilSugarcane2	61702	OUT	61702	OUT
61901	BrazilTomato1	61905	BrazilTomato1+2	61905	OUT	61905	OUT
61902	BrazilTomato2						
61903	BrazilTomato3	61903	BrazilTomato3	61903	OUT	61903	OUT
68803	BrazilTomato4	68803	BrazilTomato4	68803	OUT	68803	OUT
70701	ChinaMaize1	70706	ChinaMaize1+2	70706	ChinaMaize1+2	70706	OUT
70702	ChinaMaize2						
70703	ChinaMaize3	70703	ChinaMaize3	70703	ChinaMaize3	70709	ChinaMaize3+4+5
70704	ChinaMaize4	70704	ChinaMaize4	70704	ChinaMaize4		
70705	ChinaMaize5	70705	ChinaMaize5	70705	ChinaMaize5		
				70707	ChinaMaize6	70707	ChinaMaize6
				70708	ChinaMaize7	70708	ChinaMaize7
71301	ChinaPotato1	71303	ChinaPotato1+2	71303	ChinaPotato1+2	71303	ChinaPotato1+2
71302	ChinaPotato2						
714101	ChinaRice1early	714101	ChinaRice1early	714101	ChinaRice1early	714101	ChinaRice1early
714201	ChinaRice1late	714201	ChinaRice1late	714201	ChinaRice1late	714201	ChinaRice1late
714102	ChinaRice2early	714102	ChinaRice2early	714102	ChinaRice2early	714102	ChinaRice2early
714202	ChinaRice2late	714202	ChinaRice2late	714202	ChinaRice2late	714202	ChinaRice2late
71403	ChinaRice3	71403	ChinaRice3	71403	ChinaRice3	71403	ChinaRice1
71404	ChinaRice4	71404	ChinaRice4	71404	ChinaRice4	71404	ChinaRice4

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

71405	ChinaRice5	71405	ChinaRice5	71405	ChinaRice5	71405	ChinaRice5
80601	ColombiaCoffee1	80601	ColombiaCoffee1	80601	ColombiaCoffee1	80601	ColombiaCoffee1
80701	ColombiaMaize1	80721	ColombiaMaize1	80721	ColombiaMaize1	80723	ColombiaMaize1+2
		80711	ColombiaSilage1				
80702	ColombiaMaize2	80722	ColombiaMaize2	80722	ColombiaMaize2		
		80712	ColombiaSilage2				
81301	ColombiaPotato1	81301	ColombiaPotato1	81301	ColombiaPotato1	81301	ColombiaPotato1
81401	ColombiaRice1	81401	ColombiaRice1	81401	ColombiaRice1	81401	OUT
81901	ColombiaTomato1	81901	ColombiaTomato1	81901	ColombiaTomato1	81901	ColombiaTomato1
90201	Costa RicaBanana1	90201	Costa RicaBanana1	90201	CostaRicaBanana1	90201	OUT
110201	EcuadorBanana1	110201	EcuadorBanana1	110201	EcuadorBanana1	110201	OUT
110701	EcuadorMaize1	110701	EcuadorMaize1	110701	EcuadorMaize1	110701	EcuadorMaize1
111301	EcuadorPotato1	111301	EcuadorPotato1	111301	EcuadorPotato1	111301	EcuadorPotato1
111401	EcuadorRice1	111401	EcuadorRice1	111401	EcuadorRice1	111401	EcuadorRice1
121301	EgyptPotato1	121301	EgyptPotato1	121301	OUT	121301	OUT
121302	EgyptPotato2	121302	EgyptPotato2	121302	OUT	121302	OUT
121303	EgyptPotato3	121303	EgyptPotato3	121303	OUT	121303	OUT
121901	EgyptTomato1	121901	EgyptTomato1	121901	EgyptTomato1	121901	EgyptTomato1
122101	EgyptWheat1	122101	EgyptWheat1	122103	EgyptWheat1+2	122103	EgyptWheat1+2
122102	EgyptWheat2	122102	EgyptWheat2				
130301	FranceBarley1	130301	FranceBarley1	130301	FranceBarley1	130305	FranceBarley1+2+3
130302	FranceBarley2	130302	FranceBarley2	130302	FranceBarley2		
130303	FranceBarley3	130303	FranceBarley3	130303	FranceBarley3		
				130304	FranceBarleyHyvido4	130304	FranceBarleyHyvido4
130701	FranceMaize1	137201	FranceMaize1	137201	FranceMaize1	137203	FranceMaize1+2
		137101	FranceSilage1				

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

130702	FranceMaize2	137202	FranceMaize2	137202	FranceMaize2		
		137102	FranceSilage2				
130901	FranceGrape1	130901	FranceGrape1	130901	FranceGrapes1	130901	FranceGrapes1
130902	FranceGrape2	130902	FranceGrape2	130902	FranceGrapes2	130902	FranceGrapes2
130903	FranceGrape3	130903	FranceGrape3	130903	FranceGrapes3	130903	FranceGrapes3
131001	FranceOilseed rape1	131001	FranceOilseed rape1	131001	FranceOilseedrape1	131001	FranceOilseedrape1
131801	FranceSunflowerSeed1	131801	FranceSunflowerSeed1	131801	FranceSunflowerSeed1	131801	FranceSunflowerSeed1
141401	GhanaRice1	141401	OUT	141401	OUT	141401	OUT
150601	GuatemalaCoffee1	150601	GuatemalaCoffee1	150601	GuatemalaCoffee1	150601	GuatemalaCoffee1
150701	GuatemalaMaize1	150701	GuatemalaMaize1Grain	150701	GuatemalaMaize1	150701	GuatemalaMaize1
151701	GuatemalaSugarcane1	151701	GuatemalaSugarcane1	151701	GuatemalaSugarcane1	151701	OUT
160601	HondurasCoffee1	160601	HondurasCoffee1	160601	HondurasCoffee1	160601	HondurasCoffee1
170701	HungaryMaize1	170721	HungaryMaize1	170721	HungaryMaize1	170721	HungaryMaize1
		170711	HungarySilage1				
171001	HungaryOilseed rape1	171001	HungaryOilseed rape1	171001	HungaryOilseedrape1	171001	HungaryOilseedrape1
171801	HungarySunflowerSeed1	171801	HungarySunflowerSeed1	171801	HungarySunflowerseed1	171801	HungarySunflowerseed1
172101	HungaryWheat1	172101	HungaryWheat1	172101	HungaryWheat1	172101	HungaryWheat1
180701	IndiaMaize1	180721	IndiaMaize1	180721	IndiaMaize1	180721	IndiaMaize1
		180711	IndiaMaize1silage				
180801	IndiaCotton1	180801	IndiaCotton1	180801	IndiaCotton1	180801	IndiaCotton1
181401	IndiaRice1	181401	IndiaRice1	181401	IndiaRice1	181401	IndiaRice1
181402	IndiaRice2	181402	IndiaRice2	181402	IndiaRice2	181402	IndiaRice2
181403	IndiaRice3	181403	IndiaRice3	181403	IndiaRice3	181403	IndiaRice3
181501	IndiaSoybean1	181501	IndiaSoybean1	181501	IndiaSoybean1	181501	IndiaSoybean1
181901	IndiaTomato1	181901	IndiaTomato1	181901	IndiaTomato1	181901	IndiaTomato1
190501	IndonesiaCocoa1	190501	IndonesiaCocoa1	190501	IndonesiaCocoa1	190501	OUT

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

190502	IndonesiaCocoa2	190502	IndonesiaCocoa2	190502	OUT	190502	OUT
190701	IndonesiaMaize1	190701	IndonesiaMaize1	190701	IndonesiaMaize1	190701	IndonesiaMaize1
190702	IndonesiaMaize2grain	190702	IndonesiaMaize2grain	190702	IndonesiaMaize2	190702	IndonesiaMaize2
		197102	IndonesiaMaize2silage				
191401	IndonesiaRice1	191401	IndonesiaRice1	191401	IndonesiaRice1	191401	IndonesiaRice1
191402	IndonesiaRice2	191402	IndonesiaRice2	191402	IndonesiaRice2	191402	IndonesiaRice2
200301	ItalyBarley1	200301	ItalyBarley1	200301	ItalyBarley1	200301	ItalyBarley1
200302	ItalyBarley2	200302	ItalyBarley2	200302	ItalyBarley2	200302	ItalyBarley2
200303	ItalyBarley3	200303	ItalyBarley3	200303	ItalyBarley3	200303	ItalyBarley3
200901	ItalyGrape1	200901	ItalyGrape1	200901	ItalyGrapes1	200901	ItalyGrapes1
200902	ItalyGrape2	200902	ItalyGrape2	200902	ItalyGrapes2	200902	ItalyGrapes2
200903	ItalyGrape3	200903	ItalyGrape3	200903	ItalyGrapes3	200903	ItalyGrapes3
201901	ItalyTomato1	201901	ItalyTomato1	201901	ItalyTomato1	201901	ItalyTomato1
201902	ItalyTomato2	201902	ItalyTomato2	201902	ItalyTomato2	201902	ItalyTomato2
202101	ItalyWheat1	202101	ItalyWheat1	202101	ItalyWheat1	202101	ItalyWheat1
202102	ItalyWheat2	202102	ItalyWheat2	202102	ItalyWheat2	202102	ItalyWheat2
202103	ItalyWheat3	202103	ItalyWheat3	202103	ItalyWheat3	202103	ItalyWheat3
207201	ItalyMaize1grain	207201	ItalyMaize1grain	207201	ItalyMaize1grain	207201	ItalyMaize1grain
207101	ItalyMaize1silage	207101	ItalyMaize1silage				
210501	IvoryCoastCocoa1	210501	IvoryCoastCocoa1	210501	IvoryCoastCocoa1	210501	IvoryCoastCocoa1
221301	JapanPotato1	221301	JapanPotato1	221301	JapanPotato1	221301	JapanPotato1
221302	JapanPotato2	221302	JapanPotato2	221302	JapanPotato2	221302	JapanPotato2
221303	JapanPotato3	221303	OUT	221303	OUT	221303	OUT
231901	JordanTomato1	231905	JordanTomato1+3	231905	OUT	231905	OUT
231903	JordanTomato3						
231902	JordanTomato2	231902	JordanTomato2	231902	OUT	231902	OUT

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

231904	JordanTomato4	231904	OUT	231904	OUT	231904	OUT
241301	KenyaPotato1	241301	KenyaPotato1	241303	KenyaPotato1+2	241303	KenyaPotato1+2
241302	KenyaPotato2	241302	KenyaPotato2				
241901	KenyaTomato1	241901	KenyaTomato1	241903	KenyaTomato1+2	241903	KenyaTomato1+2
241902	KenyaTomato2	241902	KenyaTomato2				
242101	KenyaWheat1	242101	KenyaWheat1	242101	OUT	242101	OUT
242102	KenyaWheat2	242102	KenyaWheat2	242102	OUT	242102	OUT
261401	MalaysiaRice1	261401	MalaysiaRice1	261401	MalaysiaRice1	261401	MalaysiaRice1
270701	MexicoMaize1	270721	MexicoMaize1	270721	MexicoMaize1	270723	MexicoMaize1+2
		270711	MexicoSilage1				
270702	MexicoMaize2	270722	MexicoMaize2	270722	MexicoMaize2		
		270712	MexicoSilage2				
271301	MexicoPotato1	271301	MexicoPotato1	271301	MexicoPotato1	271301	MexicoPotato1
271701	MexicoSugarcane1	271701	MexicoSugarcane1	271701	MexicoSugarcane1	271701	OUT
271901	MexicoTomato1	271901	MexicoTomato1	271901	MexicoTomato1	271901	MexicoTomato1
280701	MoroccoMaize1+2	280721	MoroccoMaize1+2	280721	OUT	280721	OUT
		280711	MoroccoSilage1+2	280711	OUT	280711	OUT
281301	MoroccoPotato1+2	281301	MoroccoPotato1+2	281301	MoroccoPotato1+2	281301	MoroccoPotato1+2
281901	MoroccoTomato1	281901	OUT	281901	OUT	281901	OUT
282101	MoroccoWheat1+2	282101	MoroccoWheat1+2	282101	MoroccoWheat1+2	282101	MoroccoWheat1+2
290101	TheNetherlandsApples1	290101	TheNetherlandsApples1	290101	NetherlandsApple1	290101	NetherlandsApple1
291101	TheNetherlandsPear1	291101	TheNetherlandsPear1	291101	NetherlandsPear1	291101	NetherlandsPear1
302101	PakistanWheat1	302101	PakistanWheat1	302101	PakistanWheat1	302101	PakistanWheat1
310701	ParaguayMaize1	317201	ParaguayMaize1	317201	ParaguayMaize1	317203	ParaguayMaize1+2
		317101	ParaguyaSilage				
310702	ParaguayMaize2	310702	ParaguayMaize2	310702	ParaguayMaize2		

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

311501	ParaguaySoybean1	311501	ParaguaySoybean1	311501	ParaguaySoybean1	311503	ParaguaySoybean1+2
311502	ParaguaySoybean2	311502	ParaguaySoybean2	311502	ParaguaySoybean2		
321301	PeruPotato1	321301	PeruPotato1	321301	PeruPotato1	321301	PeruPotato1
327201	PeruMaize1grain	327201	PeruMaize1grain	327201	PeruMaize1	327201	PeruMaize1
327101	PeruMaize1silage	327101	PeruMaize1silage				
3314101	PhilippinesRice1dry	3314101	PhilippinesRice1dry	3314101	OUT	3314101	OUT
3314102	PhilippinesRice2dry	3314102	PhilippinesRice2dry	3314102	PhilippinesRice2dry	3314102	PhilippinesRice2dry
3314103	PhilippinesRice3dry	3314103	PhilippinesRice3dry	3314103	PhilippinesRice3dry	3314103	PhilippinesRice3dry
3314201	PhilippinesRice1wet	3314201	PhilippinesRice1wet	3314201	OUT	3314201	OUT
3314202	PhilippinesRice2wet	3314202	PhilippinesRice2wet	3314202	PhilippinesRice2wet	3314202	PhilippinesRice2wet
3314203	PhilippinesRice3wet	3314203	PhilippinesRice3wet	3314203	PhilippinesRice3wet	3314203	PhilippinesRice3wet
						340301	PolandBarley1
350701	RussiaMaize1	357203	RussiaMaize1+2grain	357203	RussiaMaize1+2	357203	RussiaMaize1+2
350702	RussiaMaize2	357103	RussiaMaize1+2silage				
351801	RussiaSunflowerSeed1	351803	RussiaSunflowerSeed1+2	351803	RussiaSunflowerseed1+2	351803	RussiaSunflowerseed1+2
351802	RussiaSunflowerSeed2						
360701	SouthafrikaMaize1	360701	SouthafrikaMaize1	360701	OUT	360701	OUT
		360722	SouthafrikaMaize2	360722	OUT	360722	OUT
		360712	SouthafrikaMaize2silage	360712	OUT	360712	OUT
		360703	SouthafrikaMaize3	360703	OUT	360703	OUT
		360704	SouthafrikaMaize4	360704	OUT	360704	OUT
361301	SouthafrikaPotato1	361301	SouthafrikaPotato1	361301	OUT	361301	OUT
		361302	SouthafrikaPotato2	361302	OUT	361302	OUT
370301	SpainBarley1	370301	SpainBarley1	370301	SpainBarley1	370301	SpainBarley1
371201	SpainPepper1	371201	SpainPepper1	371201	SpainPepper1	371201	SpainPepper1
371801	SpainSunflowerSeed1	371801	SpainSunflowerSeed1	371801	SpainSunflowerseed1	371801	SpainSunflowerseed1

The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

371902	SpainTomato2	371902	SpainTomato2	371902	SpainTomato2	371902	SpainTomato2
		371601	SpainStonefruit1	371601	SpainStonefruit1	371601	SpainStonefruit1
3914101	ThailandRice1dry	3914103	ThailandRice1+2dry	3914103	ThailandRice1+2dry	3914103	OUT
3914102	ThailandRice2dry						
3914201	ThailandRice1wet	3914203	ThailandRice1+2wet	3914203	ThailandRice1+2wet	3914203	ThailandRice1+2wet
3914202	ThailandRice2wet						
400701	UkraineMaize1	400701	UkraineMaize1	400701	UkraineMaize1	400701	UkraineMaize1
400702	UkraineMaize2	400702	UkraineMaize2	400702	UkraineMaize2	400702	UkraineMaize2
401801	UkraineSunflowerSeed1	401801	UkraineSunflowerSeed1	401801	UkraineSunflowerseed1	401801	UkraineSunflowerseed1
401802	UkraineSunflowerSeed2	401802	UkraineSunflowerSeed2	401802	UkraineSunflowerseed2	401802	UkraineSunflowerseed2
401803	UkraineSunflowerSeed3	401803	UkraineSunflowerSeed3	401803	UkraineSunflowerseed3	401803	UkraineSunflowerseed3
420701	VenezuelaMaize1	420721	VenezuelaMaize1	420721	VenezuelaMaize1	420721	OUT
		420711	VenezuelaMaize1silage				
420702	VenezuelaMaize2	420702	VenezuelaMaize2	420702	VenezuelaMaize2	420702	OUT
430601	VietnamCoffee1	430603	VietnamCoffee1+2	430603	VietnamCoffee 1+2	430603	VietnamCoffee 1+2
430602	VietnamCoffee2						
430701	VietnamMaize1	430701	VietnamMaize1	430701	VietnamMaize1	430701	VietnamMaize1
430702	VietnamMaize2	430702	VietnamMaize2	430702	VietnamMaize2	430702	VietnamMaize2
440701	ZambiaMaize1	440701	ZambiaMaize1	440704	ZambiaMaize1+2+3	440704	ZambiaMaize1+2+3
440702	ZambiaMaize2	440702	ZambiaMaize2				
440703	ZambiaMaize3	440703	ZambiaMaize3				
450301	UKBarley1	450301	UKBarley1	450301	UKBarley1	450301	UKBarley1
460301	GermanyBarley1	460301	GermanyBarley1	460301	GermanyBarley1	460301	GermanyBarley1
		470301	TanzaniaBarley1	470301	OUT	470301	OUT
				470701	TanzaniaMaize1	470701	TanzaniaMaize1
				471901	TanzaniaTomato1	471901	TanzaniaTomato1



The Good Growth Plan Progress Data – Productivity 2016

				480701	ZimbabweMaize1	480701	ZimbabweMaize1
						490301	CzechBarley1
			TOTAAL	143	143	118	118